Name:

ELA- IV Period:

Date:

Doodle Annotation Rubric:





Total: _____/ 100328393 Points

| Approach Choices: | Excellent 25 | Good 15 | Adequate but Needs Improvement 10 | Lacks AP Quality 5 |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Number of annotations (drawings, symbols, representations, motifs Consistent frequency of entries throughout text (not bunched) | Annotations cover the entirety of the reading, are well dispersed. Annotations do not taper midway and are abundant. Excels. | Annotations are frequent but not as thorough. The text is completely covered however not as equal in coverage. Adequate. | Annotations are fairly sparse or only cover the majority of the text, missing a larger section. Average. | Text is only annotated at the beginning or the end but not throughout. Minimum. |
| Width: Variety of drawings/topics marked for discussion | Annotations discuss allude to required literary elements. Questions are included as well as extra topics that go beyond the requirements. Above expectations. | Annotations cover the requirements and discussion of all literary elements is complete. Questions are included, but minimal. Meets all expectations. | Annotations are too narrowly focused on one or two main topics, skipping other literary elements and lacking effective questions for discussion. Below expectations. | Annotations miss categories altogether and discuss fewer than the required literary elements. No questions are present in the annotations. Do not seem to show complete understanding. |
| Depth: Significance of drawings/commenta ries Big Picture: author's tone & | Not only are drawings but also comments about these notes that show depth of understanding and discussion of purpose and effect. Excellent. Annotations identify the main | The notes have an occasional insight on the overall purpose and effect of the elements. The reader seems to show a deeper understanding of reading. Well done. Annotations seem to understand the big | The notes include mostly identification of elements with only a few insightful comments on the significance of the reading. Below level. Annotations seem shallow, only seeing | Notes seem to only identify elements, and at that some of the notes seem purposeless. There is no commentary from the reader on purpose or effect. Poor. Annotations miss big picture overall, |
| shifts tagged for discussion, themes are identified in drawings or notes | ideas and provide readers' insights on the big picture. | picture but perhaps not clearly or with varied focus. | the details without the whole. Too narrow a focus. | details identified but not analyzed as a whole. |